

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION OCT 25 PM 3: 56			
2			2011 OCT 25 PM 3: 56	
3 4	In the Matter of	`	CELA	
5	III the Matter of	)	CELA	
6	MUR 6397	Ś	DISMISSAL AND CASE	
7	CHRIS GIBSON FOR CONGRESS	)	CLOSURE UNDER THE	
8	ELIZABETH A. FITZPATRICK,	)	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY	
9	AS TREASURER	)	SYSTEM	
10 11	GENERAL	COUN	SEL'S REPORT	
12				
13	Under the Enforcement Priority System ("EPS"), the Commission uses formal scoring			
14	criteria to allocate its rescurces and decide which cases to pursue. These criteria include, but			
15	are not limited to, an assessment of (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, both with respect			
16	to the type of activity and the amount in violation, (2) the apparent impact the alleged			
17	violation may have had on the electoral process, (3) the legal complexity of issues raised in			
18	the case, (4) recent trends in potential violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of			
19	1971, as amended ("the Act"), and (5) development of the law with respect to certain subject			
20	matters. It is the Commission's policy the	hat purs	ning low-rated matters, compared to other	
21	higher-rated matters on the Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial			
22	discretion to dismiss certain cases. The Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 6397 as a			
23	low-rated matter and this also determined that it should not be referred to the Alternative			
24	Dispute Resolution Office.			
25	In this matter, the complainant, I	Kate A.	Kaufman, asserts that respondents Chris	
26	Gibson <sup>1</sup> for Congress and Elizabeth A. Fitzpatrick, in her official capacity as treasurer ("the			

Mr. Gibson represents New York's 20th Congressional District.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 Committee"), violated the Act by failing to include appropriate disclaimers on some of the
- 2 Committee's billboards, campaign literature, and signs during the 2010 election cycle.
- 3 Complainant provided a sample photocopy of each of the Gibson communications at issue.
- 4 The billboard includes a photograph of Gibson, several campaign slogans, and the statement
- 5 "We Need Chris Gibson. Proven Leadership You Can Count On." The billboard also
- 6 includes a reference to the Committee's website. The one-page campaign literature, entitled
- 7 "About Chris Gibeca," discusses Gibnou's personal bockground sed included a minuspec to
- 8 the Committee's website and e-mail address. The campaign literature also includes the phrase
- 9 "Gibson for Congress" in large bold letters at the bottom of the page. Finally, a somewhat
- 10 large sign, entitled "Gibson Campaign Office," was located close to the street in front of what
- 11 appears to be a suburban office park.

In its response, the Committee acknowledges its failure to include disclaimers on the billboard and on the campaign literature, which, it states, was "handed out from the campaign office during the campaign." However, the Committee points out that both communications included information identifying them as Gibson campaign material. The Committee also claims that it corrected the communications. As for the sign, the Committee questions whether it required a disclaimer, as the sign merely "indicated the presence of the compaign office located at Clifton Park, New York," but the Committee monetheless claims that it removed the sign and would not display it again until a disclaimer was added.

The Committee notified the Commission of a change in treasurer on April 15, 2011, after the complaint and response were filed.

We have not received copies of the corrected communications that the Committee claims it enclosed with its response. In December 2010, the Committee agreed to re-mail the complete response with the corrected communications. Despite numerous telephone calls, we have not received the complete package from the Committee.

Dismissal and Case Closure – MUR 6397 General Counsel's Report Page 3

1 The Act and the Commission's regulations require that all public communications of a 2 candidate or an authorized committee contain a disclaimer stating that the authorized 3 committee paid for the communication. 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1); 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and (b)(1). A public communication includes outdoor advertising facilities, such as billboards. 4 5 See 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. Thus, it appears that the Gibson billboard should have contained a б complete disclaimer and, therefore, was not in compliance with 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 7 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and (b)(1). Although lacking the requisite disclaimer, the billboard 8 appears to have contained sufficient identifying information so that the public was unlikely to 9 have been misled as to whether it was associated with the Gibson campaign. In addition, the Committee appears to have taken remedial action by erecting a new billboard with the 10 11 appropriate disclaimer. 12 A "public communication" also encompasses "any other form of general public 13 political advertising." See 2 U.S.C. § 431(22); and 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. The campaign 14 literature at issue might constitute "general public political advertising," which would require 15 a disclaimer pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and (b)(1). See 16 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(i) ("a disclaimer in 12-point type satisfies the size requirement ... 17 when it is used for ... flyers ... or other printed material ... "). However, because the 18 Committee handed out the literature from its campaign office, and the literature contained the 19 Committee's website and the candidate's e-mail address, it is unlikely that the general public 20 could have been mislead as to who created the communication. Moreover, the Committee 21 took what it terms as "necessary corrective action" by adding a disclaimer to its subsequent 22 handouts.

1	As for the "Gibson Campaign Office" sign, it does not appear to be a form of public
2	communication that requires a disclaimer. The sign, located in front of the office park that
3	housed the Gibson's campaign office, is merely informative, as its placement appears to
4	simply identify the location of the office. Accordingly, this Office believes the sign does not
5	violate the provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.11.
6	In conclusion, the Committee has acknowledged, and has promptly rectified, the
7	alleged disclaimer deficiencies. Accordingly, under EPS, the Office of General Counsel has
8	scored MUR 6397 as a low-rated matter and therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's
9	priorities as discussed above, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission
10	should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the allegations that the Gibson
11	billboard and campaign literature lacked the requisite disclaimer. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470
12	U.S. 821 (1985). Additionally, this Office recommends that the Commission find no reason
13	to believe that the "Gibson Campaign Office" sign violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) or
14	11 C.F.R. § 110.11.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1. Dismiss the allegations that Chris Gibson for Congress and Elizabeth A. Fitzpatrick, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.11 regarding the Gibson billboard and campaign literature.
- 2. Find no reason to believe that Chris Gibson for Congress and Elizabeth A. Fitzpatrick, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.11 regarding the "Gibson Campaign Office" sign.
- 3. Close the file and send the appropriate letters.

Anthony Herman General Counsel

10/25/17 Date

BY:

Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination
& Legal Administration

2h. Chet

Kamau Philbert

Attorney